

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,058	KINOSHITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Anthony.

(2) Mary K. Cameron.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections made over the Miki et al patent.

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Ms. Mary K. Cameron to discuss the outstanding Miki et al rejections. No agreement of any kind was reached to put the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

7/7/05
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)